ISCTM Publication Guidelines and Procedures

ISCTM Publications should reflect the culture of ISCTM as a collaborative forum of stakeholders devoted to promoting clinical research methodology advances that address strategic, clinical, regulatory, methodological and policy challenges that arise in the development and use of CNS therapeutic agents. Authors should be careful to adhere to ISCTM's core tenet of avoiding any perception of commercial bias. As ISCTM was formed to provide an opportunity for candid discussions from a variety of perspectives, it would not be unusual for ISCTM products to contain a diversity of opinion.

ISCTM's support of the activity resulting in the publication should be included in the manuscript.

Authorship:
- Working Groups: Each group will manage authorship based on contributions to the work reflected in the manuscript and commitment to the development of the manuscript, in accordance with accepted practices for scientific authorship generally. Working groups should assign a lead author or authors who manage manuscript development, approval, and submission.

  Authors: Must have participated sufficiently in the manuscript development team through ongoing design of the work, analysis of the data, and/or writing of the manuscript, in accordance with accepted authorship requirements for scientific journals. ISCTM membership is required for authors of an ISCTM sponsored manuscript.*

  Contributors:
  1. Acknowledgements are encouraged for persons whose contributions do not merit full authorship but are considered important or helpful to development of the final work product (e.g., additional working group members or persons whose contribution is limited to providing data). Authors retain final discretion regarding manuscript content; however, best practice is for contributors to have an opportunity to review the final draft of the manuscript before ISCTM review.

- Sessions: During session development calls, chairs should indicate if a publication is being considered and determine interest of speakers in participating. Once manuscript is developed, it should be circulated to speakers/panelists who are not authors to assure their views have been accurately represented and to confirm they wish to be listed in the *acknowledgements* section.

  Session output category specifications

ISCTM review process:
- Prior to submission to journal, final draft is submitted to ISCTM Secretariat to forward to designated ISCTM reviewer (currently the immediate Past Scientific Chair). The extent of this review is to assure all publications that grow out of ISCTM activities do not violate any of the principles of the Society and represent it appropriately. The review is not a peer review. If there are suggestions, reviewer will communicate with submitter and copy Secretariat. Submission should contain name of target journal. ISCTM recommends you first consider publication in an open access journal. If journal is not 'open access' and manuscript warrants wider viewership, please include with submission of the draft a request for 'public access' support with rationale for request and amount of funding needed.
- If there are no revisions suggested by the initial ISCTM reviewer, manuscript will be circulated to the Full Executive Committee for final approval. ISCTM Executive Committee review is to ensure it reflects ISCTM culture, not peer review.

Please notify Secretariat of any manuscript being developed as output of an ISCTM Working Group or ISCTM Session.

*If an author is unable to become an ISCTM member, consideration will be given for an expert

interested in participating in the manuscript development team. Contact the [Secretariat](#).

Revised July 2021