# Decisions, Decisions, Decisions: A Site Level Perspective

David P. Walling, Ph.D.

CenExel - CNS

#### Disclosures:

Grant/Research Support Disclosures: Novartis, J&J PRD, Sunovion, Janssen, Cerevel, Pfizer, Abbvie, Alkermes, Allergan, Sage, Takeda, Otsuka, Neurocrine, Noven, Karuna, Indivior, IntraCellular, Lyndra, Lundbeck, Roche, Boehringer Ingelheim, Biogen, Prothena, BMS

Consultant/Advisory Boards: Otsuka, Janssen, Boehringer Ingelheim, Biogen, Lyndra, Merck, BMS

Full-Time Employee and Stockholder - CenExel

### Sponsor Decisions that Increase the Chance of Failure

- Last minute recruitment campaigns stressful for sites, CRO's and sponsors
- Overly complicated inclusion/exclusion criteria
- Inadequate subject compensation
- Burdensome visits that increase the likelihood of subject dropouts
- Choosing vendors that are actually beta testing on the sites
- Reliance on multiple vendors

## Sponsor Decisions that Increase the Probability of Success

- Site Advisory Board starting with protocol development and continuing throughout the study
- Protocol flexibility in design
- Sponsor involvement throughout the trial
- Realistic expectations for enrollment

### Sponsor Decisions that Cut Both Ways

- Communication pathway
- Involvement/Role of Medical Monitor
- Number and type of assessments

#### Sponsor Decisions that Motivate Sites

- Actual investigator meetings
- Inclusion of recruitment staff at multiple touchpoints
- Clearly written protocols that do not rely on semantic interpretations
- Knowledgeable CRA's that have been trained in the indication
- Limiting heavy procedure days