

# Measuring Sleep: A comparison of Polysomnography (PSG), wrist actigraphy and a reduced PSG solution

Georg Dorffner<sup>1,3</sup>, Manuel Kemethofer<sup>1</sup>, Gerda Saletu-Zyhlarz<sup>2</sup>, Markus Simeoni<sup>3</sup>, Lorenz Rainer<sup>3</sup>, Silvia Parapatics<sup>1</sup>, Erna Loretz<sup>1</sup>, Georg Gruber<sup>1</sup>

Affiliations: 1: The Siesta Group; 2: Medical University of Vienna, Dept. of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy; 3: Medical University of Vienna, Section for Artificial Intelligence and Decision Support, Vienna, Austria

## Methodological Question

What are the most effective ways of measuring sleep in a clinical trial?

## Introduction

Polysomnography (PSG) is the gold standard for measuring sleep in clinical trials of CNS-active compounds. However, attaching multiple electrodes on the scalp and body demands experience and time. Hence, PSG recordings usually are limited to being conducted in a sleep lab. Alternative solutions that allow e.g. home recordings are needed. Actigraphy is one such solution based on small wrist-watch-like devices that monitor the intensity of wrist movement. Validated computerized algorithms transform the recorded activity scores into sleep-wake-scores and allow the calculation of sleep variables such as Total Sleep Time or Sleep Efficiency. Another approach is to simplify standard PSG by reducing the number of EEG electrodes. In this study full PSG, reduced PSG and actigraphy were recorded simultaneously to find out more about the validity of alternative sleep measurements.

## Methods

20 healthy subjects (aged 20 – 29 years) participated in the study.

A reduced montage including 2 EOG electrodes placed one cm above the outer cantus of the left eye (LOC) and below the outer cantus of the right eye (ROC), respectively, referenced versus A2, and wrist movement via an activity monitor (MotionWatch 8, CamNtech, Cambridge UK) were recorded for 5 consecutive nights. During the first night, a standard PSG was also recorded, using the EEG channels F4, C4, and O2 referenced versus the contralateral mastoid (A1), submental EMG, and 2 EOG electrodes placed one cm above ROC and below LOC, both referenced versus A1.

All PSG recordings were analyzed using a validated computer assisted scoring system (Anderer et al. 2010) or a modified version thereof. Actigraphy data was analyzed into Sleep-Wake scores using validated computerized algorithms. The calculated target variables included total sleep time (TST), sleep efficiency (SE), Wake after sleep onset (WASO) and sleep latency (SLAT). For comparison between methods we calculated Spearman's correlation as a measure of agreement and the difference of means (t-test) as a measure for potential bias.

## Results

High correlations were found between (a) standard PSG, (b) reduced PSG, and (c) actigraphy regarding TST ( $r_{ab}=0.818$ ,  $r_{ac}=0.920$ ,  $p<0.001$ ) with no significant bias (mean TSTa: 418.5, TSTb: 416.8, TSTc 411.5 minutes,  $p>0.05$  for all pairwise tests). This was also true for the comparison of most other variables between full and reduced PSG, Actigraphy results, however, showed significantly

shorter sleep latencies (mean  $SL_a$ : 12.7,  $SL_b$  13.2,  $SL_c$ : 6.8 minutes;  $p < 0.001$ ). In addition, a trend towards higher values for WASO (mean  $WASO_c$ : 34.8 minutes) as compared to both types of PSG measurements ( $WASO_a$ : 26.7,  $WASO_b$ : 21.4 minutes) was observed.

## Conclusions

Both actigraphy and reduced PSG showed high agreement with standard PSG for variables reflecting sleep initiation and maintenance. Thus, for studies that focus on these variables actigraphy is a great alternative to PSG. Reduced PSG, on the other hand, is the best alternative for home studies focusing on sleep architecture as well as microstructural elements. Especially in studies with multiple PSG recordings per patient, reduced PSG may be the method of choice.

## Disclosures

This work was partly funded by the Vienna Center for Innovation and Technology (ZIT). Georg Dorffner, Manuel Kemethofer, Silvia Parapatics, Erna Loretz and Georg Gruber are employees and shareholders of The Siesta Group, a service provider for measuring electrophysiological signals including sleep in clinical trials.

## References

Anderer P, Moreau A, Woertz M, et al. Computer-assisted sleep classification according to the standard of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine: validation study of the AASM version of the Somnolyzer 24 × 7. *Neuropsychobiology*. 2010;62(4):250-64.

Gruber G, Parapatics S, Loretz E, Schmittner S, Dorffner, G. Validation of sleep staging based on a reduced montage using 2 EOG channels. *Journal of Sleep Research*. 2016; 25 (Suppl. 1): 344.

Oakley, N. R. Validation with polysomnography of the Sleepwatch sleep/wake scoring algorithm used by the Actiwatch activity monitoring system. Bend: Mini Mitter, Cambridge Neurotechnology, 1997.