



AVANIR
pharmaceuticals

Agitation in Alzheimer's Disease:
Challenges in Drug Development: An Industry Perspective

International Society for CNS Clinical Trials Methodology
BPSD Working Group Symposium
Paris, September 2, 2017

Sanjay Dubé, MD

Vice President R & D; Head Scientific Strategy

Background:

- Agitation is one of several BPSD that co-occur: depression, psychosis, apathy, agitation/anger/irritability, motor aberrations
 - Secondary to delirium, pain, UTI, environmental triggers
 - Intermittently chronic presentation
 - NO single Rx likely to be of benefit all BPSD
- Role of non-pharmacological interventions
 - Benefits in less severely agitated patients
 - Helpful in excluding secondary causes of Agitation
 - Limitations: qualified personnel, standardized therapies, sustained benefit
- Majority pf patients on many medications
 - SOC Pharmacological treatments + Agitation medication
 - Anti-dementia, Antidepressants, antipsychotics, benzodiazepines etc

Considerations for Clinical Development and Trial Design

Key Challenges/Issues in Designing Clinical Trials of Agitation

- 1. Heterogeneity in target population (variability)
- 2. Assessment and Endpoint Analyses
- 3. General design considerations
- Meaningfulness of change

High Disease Variability & Treatments

- Reducing variability of target population is an important design consideration
- IPA consensus definition provides a standard guidance for identifying patients with agitation.
 - Phenotypic variability: physically or non physically aggressive, or verbally agitated (or combination)
 - Presentation may vary by setting (community dwelling/ inpatient) and cognitive status
 - Benefits to be demonstrated in a backdrop of worsening dementia (and worsening agitation)
 - Inclusion criteria for baseline severity vary: Agitation(mod-sev); cognition (Mild to mod)
- Most trials are “add on” to anti-dementia / SOC drugs (antipsychotics, SSRIs): potential for DDIs/AEs/Pharmacokinetic interactions and negative safety profile eg. falls, cognitive impairment
 - Efficacy of a broad spectrum agent eg NMDA antagonist have not been impressive
 - Is it feasible to stop all “concurrent” treatments for agitation eg antipsychotics?

Unique Challenges for Selection of Endpoints and Analysis

- Commonly used outcome measures include:
 - Uni or Multidimensional scales: CMAI, NPI, NPIC, NBRS (frequency scores; severity of scores)
 - Caregiver vs patient report vs clinician assessment eg NPIC
 - Patient/ caregiver burden and distress scores
 - Role for Cumulative outcomes: eg NPI-4: Agitation/Aggression, Anxiety, Aberrant Motor and Disinhibition (Trzepacz et al. 2012)
- Endpoint Analyses:
 - Total score vs domain scores
 - Statistical issues: multiplicity corrections etc(Hendrix et al., 2017 AAIC)
 - Patients not enriched for any domain and do not present in silos defined by any domain
 - No worsening in cognition
- Role for subgroup for endpoint analysis : eg. severity; aggressive subtype

Consideration for Trials Design

- General issues: duration of treatment, patient/caregiver burden (# of scales, frequency, cognitive status of caregiver etc)
- New Trial Designs
 - High Placebo response in recent Agitation trials (Rosenberg et al., 2015, Abushakra et al., 2012)
 - Utility of innovative designs eg; Sequential Parallel Comparison Design (SPCD)
 - Relapse Prevention Designs (Devanand et al, 2012)
 - Clear definitions of response/relapse;
 - Time to relapse vs rate of relapse
 - » Duration of study: Does antidepressant model work?

What is a Clinically Meaningful Improvement?

- Are components of a composite endpoint important to the patient and reflect how does the patient feel, function or survive?
- Relationship between globally relevant endpoints eg CGI/ PGI “very much or marked improvement” and primary outcome measure measuring agitation eg CMAI, NPIC
 - ROC analysis eg: Sensitivity/ Specificity of change that is clinically meaningful
 - Responder analysis: proportion of responders assessed by clinician or patient interviews
- Could improvement in caregiver distress a measure of meaningful improvement?
- What do caregivers consider a meaningful change in an outcome measure?
- Could reduction in caregiver burden, caregiver time be used as measures of meaningful change?

Conclusions

- Successful development of new treatments for Agitation in AD remains challenging
- Issues that will define success include an appropriate definition of agitation, defining the appropriate target population (severity, cognitive status, trials design and duration, allowed con-meds and use of valid and reliable outcome measures that are sensitive to change)
- Placebo response that plagues trials in neuropsychiatric conditions is a concern and hence an agreement on the utility of innovative designs is important
- Are there subgroups of patients appropriate for inclusion in label
- Acceptance and interpretation of total/composite scores for vs domain/factor scores and interpretation of meaningful change

Thank **you!**