Rating Patterns Identified During Screening Predict Subsequent Rating Issues

Daniel, David [1]; Lee, Jennifer [2]; Forbes, Andy [2]; Pfister, Stephanie [2]; Wang, Xingmei [1]; Ouyang, John [2] ; Kott, Alan [1]

[1] Bracket Global, LLC [2] Otsuka Pharmaceutical Development & Commercialization, Inc.

METHODOLOGICAL QUESTION

Can analysis of patterns of symptom measurement during the screening phase identify erroneous raters?

INTRODUCTION

Blinded data analytics are a non-intrusive method of reviewing blinded clinical trial ratings aiming to identify rating patterns associated with increased non-specific variance, errors in rating techniques, placebo response and poor signal detection. In a post-hoc investigation we assessed whether discrepancies at the baseline visit between scales used for entry and efficacy, respectively, were predictive of errors in scoring after randomization.

METHODS

Available baseline data from 1310 subjects were used to predict the PANSS scores given the BPRS ratings. As a PANSS discrepant subject we identified those subjects where the actual PANSS score differed from the predicted by more than 2 standard deviations. Using logistic and binomial regression we then estimated the odds ratio (OR) and the incidence rate ratio (IRR) of the following post-baseline data quality concerns: a) within PANSS logical inconsistencies; b) identical PANSS ratings; c) unusually large changes in PANSS from prior visit; d) erratic changes in PANSS; e) discrepancies between the change in CGI-S from baseline and CGI-I score; and f) discrepancies between the change in PANSS from baseline and CGI-I score comparing the group of PANSS discrepant subjects with those, who were not discrepant at baseline.

RESULTS

As shown in Figure 1 and Figure 2 the presence of baseline discrepancies between the BPRS and the PANSS scores significantly increased the odds and the incidence rate of the post-baseline large (OR = 1.95[1.15-3.32]; IRR = 2.39[1.55-3.69]) and erratic (OR = 4.58[2.03-10.34]; IRR = 4.33[1.69-11.07]) PANSS changes and of the discrepancies between the CGI-I score and change in CGI-S (OR=2.76[1.65-4.62]; IRR =2.51[1.41-4.49]) and PANSS (OR=2.91[1.66-5.13]; IRR=2.37[1.18-4.75]) scores from baseline. There was no effect of the presence of discrepancies between the BPRS and PANSSS at baseline on the post-baseline within PANSS logical inconsistencies and identical PANSS ratings.

Figure 1: Effect of Presence of PANSS vs. BPRS Discrepancies at Baseline on the Odds of Post-Baseline Data Quality Concerns.

Figure 2: Effect of Presence of PANSS vs. BPRS Discrepancies at Baseline on the Incidence Rate of Post-Baseline Data Quality Concerns.



CONCLUSIONS

- In the current analysis we found that at the baseline visit discrepancies between a conceptually related inclusion and efficacy instrument were predictive of numerous rating errors after randomization. We have previously reported that other rating errors during the screening period are predictive of post-randomization rating errors. (1,2)
- Concurrently with this presentation we are reporting a post-hoc analysis that found that erroneous scoring of the PANSS (implausible PANSS item scores given responses on other items) are associated with greater placebo response. (3)
- The above findings demonstrate the relevance of erroneous scoring on placebo response and presumably signal detection.
- The types of analyses described in this presentation may be automated and produce rapid results when incorporated into electronic clinical outcome assessments (eCOA). Rapid identification of such patterns allows for rater assessment and remediation prior to randomization, thus preventing post-baseline errors that may detract from signal detection.

REFERENCES

1. Kott, Alan; Daniel, David G. (2016): Early Indicators of Poor Data Quality in Schizophrenia Clinical Trials. Poster presentation at the 2016 International Society for CNS Clinical Trials and Methodology (ISCTM) Spring Conference, 16-18 February, Washington, D.C., USA.

2. Daniel, David G.; Kott, Alan (2016): Erroneous Interviewing and Rating Patterns Detected During Screening Predict Subsequent Quality Issues. Poster presentation at the 5th Biennial Schizophrenia International Research Society (SIRS) Conference, 2-6 April 2016, Florence, Italy.

3. Kott, Alan; Lee, Jennifer; Forbes, Andy; Pfister, Stephanie; Ouyang, John; Wang, Xingmei; Daniel, David (2016): Logical inconsistencies among PANSS items are associated with greater placebo response in acute schizophrenia trials – A post-hoc analysis. Poster presentation at the 2016 International Society for CNS Clinical Trials and Methodology (ISCTM) Fall Conference, 26-27 September, Philadelphia, PA, USA.

This poster is financially supported by Bracket.

Poster presentation at the 2016 DPharm Autumn Conference 20—21 September, Boston, MA, USA