

Feasibility of an Electronic (eCOA) Prompted Yale Global Tic Severity Scale (YGTSS) with Blinded Internal Scoring

Busner, J¹, Farber, R², O'Brien, CF², Liang, G², Scahill, L³, Coffey, BJ⁴

¹ Penn State College of Medicine Department of Psychiatry, and Bracket;² Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc.;

³ Emory University;⁴ Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai School

The Methodological Question Being Addressed: Can an electronic (eCOA) prompted Yale Global Tic Severity Scale (YGTSS) be developed with rater-blinded, algorithm-driven internal scoring quality checks?

Introduction (Aims): The YGTSS is a gold-standard tic severity assessment in Tourette Syndrome (TS) studies. Inconsistency in administration and scoring may compromise signal detection. To improve ratings quality, we developed an eCOA prompted YGTSS that provided rating guidance, captured rater scores, and generated rater-blinded algorithm-derived scores as quality checks.

Methods: An eCOA prompted YGTSS was developed on a validated platform using feedback and guidance from TS experts. The eCOA YGTSS ensured correct navigation through the scale and displayed lists of endorsed tics to assist the rater in making severity ratings. Scoring algorithms were developed for an experimental second set of rater-blinded "tandem" scores. The algorithms were based on responses the rater had entered (e.g., presence of orchestrated sequence of tics), which were then applied to the scale anchors. The scale is being piloted in two ongoing, placebo-controlled multisite TS trials, one pediatric and one adult, with rater scores serving as efficacy data. Raters first received YGTSS scoring and administration training, and were required to demonstrate both scoring and administration competency prior to study start. We present the comparison of rater vs computer algorithm-derived scores using Pearson correlations and t-tests.

Results: 37 subjects (12 pediatric, 25 adult) completed 99 visits by 20 raters at the time of the analysis. Correlations between rater and computer scores were high for each of the 10 YGTSS severity scores (range: .74 - .91, all p's < .0001); for the Total Tic Score (TTS) (primary efficacy measure) the correlation was .95 (p < .0001). The mean rater vs computer TTS scores were almost identical (28.8 and 28.5, respectively, NS). The findings did not differ by patient population (pediatric vs adult).

Conclusions: Our internal scoring algorithms correlated significantly with all rater-selected motor, phonic, and TTS scores, with the latter nearly identical. The work provides preliminary validation of our algorithms and supports the feasibility of the approach. In a risk-based monitoring model, less trained raters whose scores deviate significantly from those of the internal algorithm might be selected for additional scrutiny and intervention. Our results support the utility of a computer-prompted YGTSS with internal tandem scoring for multisite TS trials.

Disclosure: One or more authors report potential conflicts which are described in the program. [See attached]

DISCLOSURE STATEMENTS

First Author

Joan Busner, PhD

I (or an immediate family member) have a real or potential conflict of interest or received travel support or honoraria from a commercial business to attend this meeting.

Name of business, organization or individual	Nature of Conflict
Bracket	Employee

Second Author

Robert Farber, PhD

I (or an immediate family member) have a real or potential conflict of interest or received travel support or honoraria from a commercial business to attend this meeting.

Name of business, organization or individual	Nature of Conflict
Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc	Employee

Third Author

Christopher F. O'Brien, MD

I (or an immediate family member) have a real or potential conflict of interest or received travel support or honoraria from a commercial business to attend this meeting.

Name of business, organization or individual	Nature of Conflict
Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc	Employee

Fourth Author

Grace Liang, MD

I (or an immediate family member) have a real or potential conflict of interest or received travel support or honoraria from a commercial business to attend this meeting.

Name of business, organization or individual	Nature of Conflict
Neurocrine Biosciences, Inc	Employee

Fifth Author

Lawrence Scahill, PhD

I (or an immediate family member) have a real or potential conflict of interest or received travel support or honoraria from a commercial business to attend this meeting.

Name of business, organization or individual	Nature of Conflict
Roche	Consultant
Bracket	Consultant
Department of Defense	Research Support
Neuren Pharmaceuticals	Consultant
National Institute of Mental Health	Research Support
MedAdvante	Consultant
Oxford	Royalties
Guilford	Royalties
Coronado Biosciences, Inc.	Consultant
Supernus Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	Consultant

Sixth Author

Barbara J. Coffey, MD, MS

I (or an immediate family member) have a real or potential conflict of interest or received travel support or honoraria from a commercial business to attend this meeting.

Name of business, organization or individual	Nature of Conflict
American Academy of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry	Honoraria
Genco Sciences	Advisory Board
Neurocrine	Research Support
NIMH	Research Support
Shire	Research Support
Tourette Association of America	Advisory Board , Center of Excellence Funding, and Speakers Bureau

Auspex Pharmaceuticals	Advisory Board , co-PI, pivotal trial and Research Support
Catalyst Pharmaceuticals Inc.	Research Support