

The impact of PANSS change in screening on week 1 PANSS change in acute schizophrenia clinical trials

Submitter Alan Kott

Affiliation Signant Health

SUBMISSION DETAILS

I agree to provide poster pdf for attendee download. Yes

Methodological Issue Being Addressed Does the PANSS change in screening period impact week 1 PANSS change in blinded data in acute schizophrenia clinical trials?

Introduction Most clinical trials in acute schizophrenia limit the participation of subjects who improve by more than a set cut-off (typically 20%) between screening and baseline. Anecdotally, clinical trial sponsors are concerned that subjects showing larger change in the screening period may ultimately have larger placebo response and/or diminished placebo-drug separation. In the current analysis, we assessed the impact of the screening change (PANSS total, factor scores) on the PANSS change at the week 1 visit after randomization.

Methods PANSS data were pulled from 14 double blind, placebo controlled, multicenter, phase 2/3 clinical trials in acute schizophrenia. A total of 6,232 subjects were included in the analysis. Of these, 5,721 (91.8%) had data for the week 1 post-baseline visit. Percent change in the screening period (difference between baseline and screening value) was calculated for the PANSS total and all 5 PANSS factors using the formula subtracting the minimal possible score from the denominator. Linear mixed effect models were fitted to the data, with the PANSS percent change at week 1 as the dependent variable and the respective screening change (PANSS total, PANSS factors) and PANSS baseline value included in the model as fixed effects and study as a random effect. Linear mixed effect models were used to assess the difference in in the PANSS change in the screening period between the randomized and screenfailed study participants.

Results No difference in the PANSS total score change in the screening period was identified between those study participants who randomized and who screen-failed. A modest, but statistically significant effect of the PANSS total score change in the screening period was observed. A 10% increase in PANSS improvement in the screening period was associated with an approximately 0.7% decrease in PANSS improvement at week 1 ($p = 0.0014$). None of the factor score changes in the screening period significantly impacted the week 1 PANSS change. However, a modest, but non-statistically significant effect was observed for the positive factor score and to a lesser extent the negative factor.

Conclusion Our retrospective analysis suggests that the magnitude of symptom change in the screening period has little to no effect on the blinded PANSS change a week after randomization. Whether this is true even for improvements beyond 20% is impossible for us to assess because 12 of the 14 trials imposed screening change thresholds and the overall sample of subjects improving by more than 20% in the analyzed sample was $< 1\%$. We focused on the week 1 visit because the

PANSS is assessed at week 1 in most acute schizophrenia clinical trials and its temporal proximity to screening is consistent with potential vulnerability to impact by the screening change. Among the limitations in interpreting our results are the retrospective nature of the analysis, the inability to distinguish impact on placebo vs. study drug participants in blinded data and the ceiling created by screening change thresholds. More research is needed to confirm the utility of the screening stability requirement implemented in current trials in acute exacerbation of schizophrenia.

Co-Authors

Alan Kott¹, Xingmei Wang¹, David G Daniel¹

¹ Signant Health

Keywords

Keywords

PANSS

Schizophrenia

Clinical Trials

Symptom Change

Guidelines I have read and understand the Poster Guidelines

Disclosures Alan Kott, and Xingmei Wang are employees of Signant Health and may hold stock/equity shares. David Daniel is an Executive Advisor to Signant Health.

Related Tables and Supporting Materials <blank>